hepburn wind community energy

Hybrid Planning Permit | Attachment 2

Energy Yield Assessment Power System Study

DNV·GL

Title	Hepburn Solar PEG Energy Assessment
Customer	Hepburn Community Wind Park Co-Operative Ltd
Contact	Taryn Lane
Document No.	PP207530-AUME-T-02-A
Issue	Α
Classification	Customar's discration
Author	Daniel Shen
Author Checked	Daniel Shen Andrew Bumbak
Author Checked Approved	Daniel Shen Andrew Bumbak Christian Peake

Issue:	Date:	Summary
А	11/06/2020	Draft, subject to change

IMPORTANT NOTICE AND DISCLAIMER

- 1. This document is intended for the sole use of the Customer as detailed on the front page of this document to whom the document is addressed and who has entered into a written agreement with the DNV GL entity issuing this document ("DNV GL"). To the extent permitted by law, neither DNV GL nor any group company (the "Group") assumes any responsibility whether in contract, tort including without limitation negligence, or otherwise howsoever, to third parties (being persons other than the Customer), and no company in the Group other than DNV GL shall be liable for any loss or damage whatsoever suffered by virtue of any act, omission or default (whether arising by negligence or otherwise) by DNV GL, the Group or any of its or their servants, subcontractors or agents. This document must be read in its entirety and is subject to any assumptions and qualifications expressed therein as well as in any other relevant communications in connection with it. This document may contain detailed technical data which is intended for use only by persons possessing requisite expertise in its subject matter.
- 2. This document is protected by copyright and may only be reproduced and circulated in accordance with the Document Classification and associated conditions stipulated or referred to in this document and/or in DNV GL's written agreement with the Customer. No part of this document may be disclosed in any public offering memorandum, prospectus or stock exchange listing, circular or announcement without the express and prior written consent of DNV GL. A Document Classification permitting the Customer to redistribute this document shall not thereby imply that DNV GL has any liability to any recipient other than the Customer.
- 3. This document has been produced from information relating to dates and periods referred to in this document. This document does not imply that any information is not subject to change. Except and to the extent that checking or verification of information or data is expressly agreed within the written scope of its services, DNV GL shall not be responsible in any way in connection with erroneous information or data provided to it by the Customer or any third party, or for the effects of any such erroneous information or data whether or not contained or referred to in this document.
- 4. Any wind or energy forecasts estimates or predictions are subject to factors not all of which are within the scope of the probability and uncertainties contained or referred to in this document and nothing in this document guarantees any particular wind speed or energy output.

Customer'sDistribution for information only at the discretion of the Customer (subject to the above ImportantDiscretionNotice and Disclaimer and the terms of DNV GL's written agreement with the Customer).

© 2020 DNV GL Australia Pty Ltd

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

DNV GL Australia Pty Ltd (DNV GL) has been contracted by Hepburn Community Wind Park Co-Operative Ltd (the Customer) to update the solar resource and energy assessment using the additional 12 months of on-site data and PEG design specifications provided by the Customer. This document is issued to the Customer pursuant to DNV GL proposal L2C-207530-AUME-VO-003-A dated 17 March 2020.

The Project is located in farmland approximately 10 km south of Daylesford, and 90 km northwest of Melbourne, in Victoria. DNV GL has utilised secondary standard irradiance and temperature measurements from the site, along with reference ground based and satellite-derived datasets, to update the solar resource and energy assessment.



1. Background imagery extracted from the World Street Map image raster. (Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, USGS, Interman, INCREMENT P, NRCAN, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), Esri (Thailand), MapmyIndia, ©OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community)

Figure 1 Location of the Project

A summary of the results of the energy assessment, together with the associated uncertainties, are presented in Table 1.

Table 1 Summary of energy estimates

	Annual production probability of exceedance [MWh/annum]						
	P50	P50 P90 P99					
PEG							
First 1 year	8,970.5	8,367.0	7,951.1				
First 10 years	8,815.7	8,138.9	7,547.0				
Lifetime – 30 years	8,364.5	6,748.3	5,953.7				

PLANT DESIGN 2

The layout is a mix of PEG EW (East-West) and PEG SD configurations. The PEG EW configuration has both east and west facing fixed tilt modules supported by steel rods. The PEG SD configuration is similar to a traditional fixed tilt structure except being supported by steel rods. Both configurations have a tilt of 8° in the direction they are facing. It should be noted that the PEG SD configuration is limited by the slope which is why the azimuth is at 80° rather than a more optimal north facing one. The design characteristics of the PEG options used in the energy assessment are summarised in Table 2.



1.0 m

0.7 - 0.8 m

Table 2 Design characteristics for Hepburn Solar Farm

String sizing has been assumed by DNV GL based on voltage limits and racking configurations 1. 2.

Based on unity power factor

Design drawing [1] [2]



Figure 2 Google earth image of layout with yellow regions showing PEG blocks

3 LONG-TERM METEOROLOGICAL CONDITIONS

The analysis of the long-term meteorological conditions at the Hepburn site included consideration of the following parameters, which are used as inputs to energy production assessment:

- Global Horizontal Irradiance (GHI)
- Diffuse irradiance
- Ambient temperature
- Wind speed

Approximately 3 years of valid GHI and temperature data have been recorded at the Hepburn site. In order to extend the period of measured data to represent the long-term period at the site, the site measurements were correlated to selected reference datasets for each parameter.

The Solargis dataset was utilised in the assessment of the GHI and diffuse irradiance conditions, while the Ben Nevis BoM Australian Weather Station was used in the long-term assessment of temperature conditions at the Hepburn site. MERRA2 reanalysis wind speed data was used in the long-term assessment of wind conditions.

The resulting estimate of the long-term meteorological conditions at the Hepburn site are presented in Table 3.

Month	GHI [kWh/m²/day]	Diffuse [kWh/m²/day]	Temperature [°C]	Wind speed [ms- ¹]
Jan	7.5	1.9	17.3	5.0
Feb	6.4	2.0	16.3	4.9
Mar	5.1	1.6	14.4	4.9
Apr	3.4	1.3	11.1	4.7
Мау	2.2	1.0	8.0	5.2
Jun	1.7	0.9	5.7	5.0
Jul	1.8	0.9	4.8	5.6
Aug	2.5	1.3	5.1	5.7
Sep	3.8	1.7	7.2	5.6
Oct	5.4	2.0	10.2	5.2
Nov	6.3	2.3	12.6	4.9
Dec	7.1	2.2	14.7	5.0
Annual	4.4	1.6	10.6	5.2

Table 3 Summary of long-term meteorological conditions at the site

4 ENERGY PRODUCTION ASSESSMENT

4.1 Energy yield

Table 4, presents the predicted long-term annual energy production for Hepburn Solar Farm, excluding the effects of system degradation. Grid curtailments losses have been calculated based on an indicative point of connections requirement of 7,900MVA at a power factor of 0.87. DNV GL notes that discussions are still underway with the local distribution network service provider to finalise the grid connections requirements, so the energy yield results will be subject to change.

Table 4 Energy production estimation for the PEG option (Year 1, excluding systemdegradation losses)

		PEG option
10	Global Irradiation on the Plane of Array [kWh/m2/year]	1,620
puts	Global Horizontal Irradiation (GHI) [kWh/m2/year]	1,616
sic In	Plant Architecture	8°/8° fixed tilt, 96.1°/-83.9° azimuth PEG E-W and 8° fixed tilt, 80° azimuth PEG SD
Bas	DC Peak Power [kWp]	7,416
	AC Nominal Capacity ¹ [kW]	5,000
	Ratio P _{DC} /P _{AC}	1.48
	Far Shading/Horizon	0.0%
	Near Shading	2.6%
	Incidence angle (reflective)	3.1%
	Soiling	0.8%
	Low-irradiance efficiency reduction	1.2%
	Temperature	1.5%
	Module Quality Factor	0.3%
es	Light Induced Degradation	2.5%
osso.	Mismatch	0.5%
	DC Ohmic	0.9%
	Inverter losses and clipping	7.4%
	AC Ohmic	0.3%
	Transformer	0.9%
	Auxiliary consumption	0.3%
	Plant controller	0.0%
	System unavailability	1.0%
	Grid curtailment ²	5.1%
ults	Year 1 – P50 Net Energy [GWh/year] ³	8,971
Res	Year 1 – P50 Yield Ratio [kWh/kW _P] ³	1,210
Final	Year 1 - Performance Ratio	74.7%

Notes: 1. Plant AC nominal capacity at ambient temperature of 25 °C.

2. Grid curtailment is based on the combined plant (with Hepburn wind farm) POC (Point of connection) limit of 7.9MVA at a power factor of 0.87.

3. Energy figures are derived using the DNV GL uncertainty model, which includes the effect of asymmetric probability distributions. Net energy is therefore not a direct product of gross energy and P50 loss factors. This net energy figure also includes night losses.

4.2 Uncertainty

To calculate overall downside risk, DNV GL considered several uncertainties throughout analysis which are combined assuming the effects are independent. DNV GL has approximated all effects, including that of resource variability, as parametric distributions.

Both modelling and measurement uncertainties contribute to downside risk. To quantify these factors, DNV GL deconstructed the contributing model elements and estimated the values for the following contributions:

- Resource assessment uncertainty
- Solar resource variability
- Soiling variability
- Other variabilities including temperature and availability
- Plane of array transposition
- Loss factor assumptions

Table 5 presents the probability of exceedance levels for the net average energy production for different future periods. These probability of exceedance levels include the effects of system degradation over the period considered, as described further in Section 4.3.

	Annual Production [MWh/annum]						
Future Period	P50	P75	P90	P95	P99		
First 1 year	8,970.5	8,638.7	8,367.0	8,216.3	7,951.1		
First 10 years	8,815.7	8,473.1	8,138.9	7,914.4	7,547.0		
Lifetime - 30 years	8,364.5	7,585.3	6,748.3	6,353.8	5,953.7		

Table 5: Confidence limits of energy production, including degradation effects for the PEG option

4.3 Degradation

Long-term degradation is a slow and irreversible decline in output of a PV module's power output. DNV GL has conducted an extensive review of PV degradation rates, including the review of 135 papers on this topic in association with the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL). This work indicates that half of crystalline PV system annual degradation rates vary within the interquartile range of 0.2% - 1.2% for systems that deploy multi-crystalline modules. Given that the range of this rate is of similar magnitude to the rate itself, there is a high level of uncertainty associated with any presumed single value of degradation. Based on current industry leading summary of degradation research results and DNV GL's judgement, DNV GL recommends using a single-year P50 system-level degradation rate from approximately the middle of this range, or 0.64% per year. When the mitigating effects of inverter clipping are taken into consideration, the effective lifetime degradation rate falls to 0.44% per year. Note that grid curtailment losses may also reduce the effective lifetime degradation. A *system* degradation rate, as opposed to a module-only degradation rate, includes the cumulative effects of differing degradation rates among individual modules and the system-level mismatch that ensues from that diverging mix of declining modules. In roughly a 'weakest link' manner, the most rapidly degrading modules exert a collective dragging down of performance at the system level. This secondary system-level impact is of greater commercial importance than the simple average module-level degradation. This is the principal reason why DNV GL emphasises a system-level approach.

Table 6 presents the degradation rates for the probability of exceedance scenarios considered in the uncertainty assessment.

Probability of exceedance	PEG annual degradation rate
P50	-0.44%
P75	-1.20%
P90	-1.82%
P90	-2.42%
P99	-3.46%

Table 6: Confidence limits for degradation rate (applicable to first 5 years of operation)

DNV GL notes that the assumed linear system degradation in Table 6 does not include elevated degradation rates associated with end-of-life failure mechanisms, due to the limited sample size and variable nature of late-life system performance. The results presented therefore assume that the solar farm is well managed over its remaining life and an increase in operational costs may be needed in the later years of operation to maintain the degradation rates included in this assessment.

DNV GL notes that this linear model does not include acceleration of degradation rates associated with end-of-life failure mechanisms due to the very limited sample size and highly variable nature of late-life system performance, so the results should not be extrapolated beyond the time period presented here.

Table 7**Error! Reference source not found.** presents expected annual production for each option of the solar farm over its lifetime and includes the impact of degradation, assessment uncertainties and considers annual solar resource variability. The 10-year and lifetime uncertainties of the system generation is expected to be less than the uncertainty in any given year, primarily due to the reduction in solar resource variability over these periods.

		Energy production [MWh/year]					
Year	P50 Degradation	P50	P75	P90	P95	P99	
1	0.00%	8,971	8,639	8,367	8,216	7,951	
2	-0.40%	8,935	8,599	8,322	8,164	7,885	
3	-0.85%	8,895	8,548	8,256	8,077	7,758	
4	-1.30%	8,854	8,491	8,174	7,963	7,586	
5	-1.75%	8,813	8,427	8,079	7,829	7,381	
6	-2.21%	8,772	8,359	7,973	7,705	7,251	
7	-2.68%	8,730	8,286	7,858	7,574	7,117	
8	-3.15%	8,688	8,209	7,737	7,437	6,978	
9	-3.62%	8,646	8,129	7,611	7,294	6,836	
10	-4.10%	8,602	8,046	7,480	7,148	6,690	
11	-4.59%	8,559	7,962	7,345	6,999	6,542	
12	-5.08%	8,515	7,875	7,208	6,847	6,391	
13	-5.58%	8,470	7,787	7,069	6,692	6,238	
14	-6.08%	8,425	7,698	6,927	6,535	6,084	
15	-6.59%	8,380	7,607	6,784	6,377	5,927	
16	-7.10%	8,334	7,515	6,639	6,217	5,769	
17	-7.62%	8,287	7,422	6,492	6,055	5,610	
18	-8.14%	8,240	7,328	6,345	5,892	5,449	
19	-8.67%	8,193	7,233	6,196	5,728	5,287	
20	-9.20%	8,145	7,138	6,046	5,564	5,124	
21	-9.74%	8,097	7,041	5,896	5,398	4,959	
22	-10.29%	8,048	6,944	5,744	5,231	4,794	
23	-10.84%	7,999	6,847	5,592	5,063	4,628	
24	-11.39%	7,949	6,748	5,439	4,894	4,461	
25	-11.95%	7,899	6,649	5,285	4,725	4,293	
26	-12.51%	7,848	6,549	5,131	4,555	4,124	
27	-13.08%	7,797	6,449	4,975	4,384	3,955	
28	-13.66%	7,745	6,348	4,819	4,212	3,785	
29	-14.24%	7,693	6,246	4,663	4,040	3,614	
30	-14.83%	7,641	6,144	4,505	3,867	3,442	

Table 7: PEG option annual production [MWh/year] including degradation and clipping effects

APPENDIX A: COMPONENT SPECIFICATIONS



JAM72S10 390-410/MR 🔤



SPECIFICATION	s
Cell	Mono
Weight	22.7kg±3%
Dimensions	2015±2mm×996±2mm×40±1mm
Cable Cross Section Size	e 4mm²
No. of cells	144 (6×24)
Junction Box	IP68, 3 diodes
Connector	MC4 Compatible(1000V) QC 4.10-35(1500V)
Packaging Configuration	27 Per Pallet

ELECTRICAL PARAMETERS AT STC

TYPE	JAM72810 -390/MR	JAM72810 -395/MR	JAM72810 -400/MR	JAM72810 -405/MR	JAM72810 -410/MR
Rated Maximum Power(Pmax) [W]	390	395	400	405	410
Open Circuit Voltage(Voc) [V]	49.01	49.30	49.58	49.86	50.12
Maximum Power Voltage(Vmp) [V]	40.71	41.02	41.33	41.60	41.88
Short Circuit Current(Isc) [A]	10.23	10.28	10.33	10.39	10.45
Maximum Power Current(imp) [A]	9.58	9.63	9.68	9.74	9.79
Module Efficiency [%]	19.4	19.7	19.9	20.2	20.4
Power Tolerance			0~+5W		
Temperature Coefficient of $Isc(\alpha_Isc)$			+0.044%/°C		
Temperature Coefficient of Voc(β _Voc)			-0.272%/°C		
Temperature Coefficient of Pmax(Y_Pmp)			-0.354%/°C		
STC		Irradiance 100	00W/m², cell temperatur	e 25°C, AM1.5G	

Remark: Electrical data in this catalog do not refer to a single module and they are not part of the offer. They only serve for comparison among different module types.

ELECTRICAL PARAMETERS AT NOCT OPERATING							
TYPE	JAM72810 -390/MR	JAM72810 -395/MR	JAM72310 -400/MR	JAM72810 -405/MR	JAM72810 -410/MR	Maximum System	
Rated Max Power(Pmax) [W]	294	298	302	306	310	Operating Temper	
Open Circuit Voltage(Voc) [V]	45.90	46.15	46.41	46.66	46.91	Maximum Series I	
Max Power Voltage(Vmp) [V]	38.15	38.40	38.65	38.90	39.16	Maximum Static L	
Short Circuit Current(Isc) [A]	8.15	8.20	8.25	8.31	8.36	Maximum Static L	
Max Power Current(Imp) [A]	7.71	7.76	7.81	7.87	7.92	NOCT	
NOCT	In	radiance 800W wind	//m³, amblent te speed 1m/s_Al	emperature 201 M1.5G	С,	Application Class	

OPERATING CONDITIONS Maximum System Voltage 1000V/1500V DC(IEC) Operating Temperature ~40°C~+85°C Maximum Series Fuse 20A Maximum Static Load,Front 5400Pa Maximum Static Load,Back 2400Pa

CHARACTERISTICS



Premium Cells, Premium Modules







45±2°C

Class A





SUNNY CENTRAL 2500-EV



- transported in one standard
- shipping container Over-dimensioning up to 150%
- system for intelligent, effective cooling
- Can be installed outdoors anywhere in the world in any ambient condition
- requirements worldwide Provides Q on demand

Available as a stand-alone or turn

key solution with medium-voltage

- Bay for connecting customer equipment
- Integrated voltage supply for internal consumption and exte loads

SUNNY CENTRAL 2500-EV

The new Sunny Central: maximum power density and integration

The Sunny Central 2500-EV inverter produces 2500 kVA from 1500 V DC and allows for more efficient system design as it now works with an even broader range of module types. It has an integrated transformer and additional space available for installation of customer equipment, and has been optimized for outdoor installation. The air cooling system OptiCool™ keeps this central inverter running smoothly, even in extreme ambient temperatures. Sand and dust particles are effectively kept away. The Sunny Central 2500-EV is the central component of SMA Utility Power Systems. In conjunction with the medium-voltage block, DC technology, power plant controlling system and SMA Service, it is also available as compact platform solution.

block

SUNNY CENTRAL 2500-EV

Technical Data	SC 2500-EV
land (DC)	
MPR voltage range V (at 25°C (at 50°C)	850 V to 1/25 V / 1275 V
Min_input voltage V / Start voltage V	778 V / 878 V
Max input voltage V	1500 V
Max input current (at 25°C / at 50°C)	3000 Å / 2700 Å
Max, short-circuit current ration	(300 A
Number of DC insuits	24
Max, surplus of DC colles per DC instit (for each calcula)	2 × 800 km² 2 × 400 m²
Interacted zone monitoring (±0,5% doubt resistors)	2 X 000 Kmi, 2 X 400 mm
Auxilable DC fue sizes (see invel)	200 4 250 4 315 4 350 4 400 4 450 4 500 4
Output (AC)	200 A, 250 A, 515 A, 550 A, 400 A, 450 A, 500 A
Married AC ensure at an a m1 (at 2510 (at 4010 (at 5010)	2500 kva / 2350 kva / 2250 kva
Nominal AC power at cos g =0 8 (at 25°C / at 40°C / at 50°C)	2000 kw / 1880 kw / 1800 kw
Maninal AC support I and a subst support I	2600 KH / 1600 KH / 1600 KH
Max, total hormonic distortion	< 3% at partial power
Nominal &Cuoltane / nominal &Cuoltane manel	550 V / 440 V to 660 V
AC power featured	50 Hz / 47 Hz to 53 Hz
the porter independy	60 Hz / 57 Hz to 63 Hz
Power factor at rated power / displacement power factor adjustable	1 / 0.8 overexcited to 0.8 underexcited
Efficiency	.,
Max. efficiency ^a / European efficiency ^a / CEC efficiency ^a	98.6% / 98.3% / 98.0%
Protective Devices	
Inputside disconnection point	DC load-break switch
Output-side disconnection point	AC circuit breaker
DC overvoltage protection	Surge arrester, type I
Lightning protection (according to IEC 62305-1)	Lightning Protection Level III
Ground-fault monitoring / remote ground-fault monitoring	0/0
Insulation monitoring	, 0
Degree of protection: electronics / gir duct / connection greg [gs per IEC 60529]	IP65 / IP34 / IP34
General Data	
Dimensions (W / H / D)	2780 / 2318 / 1588 mm (109.4 / 91.3 / 62.5 inch)
Weight	<4000 kg / < 8.819 lb
Self-consumption (max.4 / partial load* / average*)	< 8100 W / < 1800 W / < 2000 W
Self-consumption (standby)	< 370 W
Internal auxiliary power supply	Integrated 8.4 kVA transformer
Operating temperature range	-25 to 60°C / -13 to 140°F
Noise emission ²	64.3 dB(A)
Temperature range (standby)	-40 to 60°C / -40 to 140°F
Temperature range (storage)	-40 to 70°C / -40 to 158°F
Max, permissible value for relative humidity (condensing / non-condensing)	95% to 100% (2 month / vear) / 0 % to 95%
Maximum operating altitude above MSL 2000 m / 3000 m	 / o (earlier temperature-dependent derating)
Fresh air consumption	6500 m³/h
Features	
DC connection	Terminal lug on each input (without fuse)
AC connection	With busbar system (three busbars, one per line conductor)
Communication	Ethernet, Modbus Master, Modbus Slave
Communication with SMA string monitor (transmission medium)	Modbus TCP / Ethernet (FO MM, Ca+5)
Enclosure / roof color	RAL 9016 / RAL 7004
Display	HMI touchscreen (10.1")
Supply transformer for external loads	0 (2.5 kVA)
Standards and directives complied with	CE, IEC / EN 62109-1, IEC / EN 62109-2, BDEW-MSRL, IEEE1547,
EMC standards	UL 1998, Arreté du 23/04/08 EN 55011:2011-4, IEC / EN 61000-6-2. EN 55022. CISPR 22:2008
	modified class A, FOC Part 1.5 Class A
Standard features Optional	
Type designation	SC-2500-EV-10

- At nominal AC voltage < 550V, nominal AC power decreases in the same proportion
 Efficiency measured without internal power supply
 Efficiency measured with internal power supply
 Self-consumption at rated operation

- 5) Self-consumption at < 75% Pn at 25°C 6) Self-consumption averaged out from 5% to 100% Pn at 25°C 7) Sound pressure level at a distance of 10 m





TEMPERATURE BEHAVIOR SC 2500-EV

About DNV GL

Driven by our purpose of safeguarding life, property and the environment, DNV GL enables organizations to advance the safety and sustainability of their business. We provide classification and technical assurance along with software and independent expert advisory services to the maritime, oil and gas, and energy industries. We also provide certification services to customers across a wide range of industries. Operating in more than 100 countries, our 16,000 professionals are dedicated to helping our customers make the world safer, smarter and greener.

DNV·GL

HEPBURN WIND FARM PSP SUPPORT

Power System Study for Hepburn Wind Farm with Additional Solar Capacity

Hepburn Community Wind Park Co-operative Ltd

Report No.: PP231111-AUME-R-01, Rev. E Document No.: PP231111-AUME-R-01-E Date: 15/11/2019



IMPORTANT NOTICE AND DISCLAIMER

- 1. This document is intended for the sole use of the Customer as detailed on the front page of this document to whom the document is addressed and who has entered into a written agreement with the DNV GL entity issuing this document ("DNV GL"). To the extent permitted by law, neither DNV GL nor any group company (the "Group") assumes any responsibility whether in contract, tort including without limitation negligence, or otherwise howsoever, to third parties (being persons other than the Customer), and no company in the Group other than DNV GL shall be liable for any loss or damage whatsoever suffered by virtue of any act, omission or default (whether arising by negligence or otherwise) by DNV GL, the Group or any of its or their servants, subcontractors or agents. This document must be read in its entirety and is subject to any assumptions and qualifications expressed therein as well as in any other relevant communications in connection with it. This document may contain detailed technical data which is intended for use only by persons possessing requisite expertise in its subject matter.
- 2. This document is protected by copyright and may only be reproduced and circulated in accordance with the Document Classification and associated conditions stipulated or referred to in this document and/or in DNV GL's written agreement with the Customer. No part of this document may be disclosed in any public offering memorandum, prospectus or stock exchange listing, circular or announcement without the express and prior written consent of DNV GL. A Document Classification permitting the Customer to redistribute this document shall not thereby imply that DNV GL has any liability to any recipient other than the Customer.
- 3. This document has been produced from information relating to dates and periods referred to in this document. This document does not imply that any information is not subject to change. Except and to the extent that checking or verification of information or data is expressly agreed within the written scope of its services, DNV GL shall not be responsible in any way in connection with erroneous information or data provided to it by the Customer or any third party, or for the effects of any such erroneous information or data whether or not contained or referred to in this document.
- 4. Any resource or energy forecasts estimates or predictions are subject to factors not all of which are within the scope of the probability and uncertainties contained or referred to in this document and nothing in this document guarantees any particular resource or energy output.

KEY TO DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION

Strictly Confidential	:	For disclosure only to named individuals within the Customer's organisation.
Private and Confidential	:	For disclosure only to individuals directly concerned with the subject matter of the document within the Customer's organisation.
Commercial in Confidence	:	Not to be disclosed outside the Customer's organisation.
DNV GL only	:	Not to be disclosed to non-DNV GL staff
Customer's Discretion	:	Distribution for information only at the discretion of the Customer (subject to the above Important Notice and Disclaimer and the terms of DNV GL's written agreement with the Customer).
Published	:	Available for information only to the general public (subject to the above Important Notice and Disclaimer).

Table of contents

Executiv	e Summary	4
1	Introduction	5
1.1	Site Location	5
1.2	System Description	6
2	POWER SYSTEM MODEL	7
2.1	Development of the Model	7
2.2	Model Settings	8
2.3	Plant model 1	0
2.4	Plant control mode 1.	5
3	RESULTS	6
3.1	Steady State Voltage Variation 1	6
3.1.1	Normal operation 1	6
3.1.2	I rip Scenario 1	/
2.1.5	Thermal Datings of Network Equipment	פ ח
J.Z 2 2	Foult Level Ctudies	1
3.3	Fault Level Studies 2	T
4	Conclusions	3
5	References 24	4
Appendix	A – Higher Generation Capacity	5
Appendi	B – Normal Operation Results	8
Appendi	C – Cloud Cover Result	1
Appendi	C D – SMA Solar Inverter Specification	2
Appendix	E – Site Layout and Electrical Schematics	2

Table of Figures

Figure 1-1 Site location	5
Figure 1-2 BAN 11 feeder	6
Figure 2-1 Powercor BAN011 voltage profile	9
Figure 2-2 DNV GL BAN011 Full load voltage profile	9
Figure 2-3 DNV GL BAN011 Low load voltage profile	10
Figure 2-4 Existing plant model represented in PowerFactory	11
Figure 2-5 Wind turbine specification [5]	11
Figure 2-6 Wind turbine transformer Specification [5]	11
Figure 2-7 STATCOM transformer specification [5]	12
Figure 2-8 Reactive power capability with the Powercor requirements superimposed [4]	12
Figure 2-9 The proposed plant layout	13
Figure 2-10 PV Central inverter specification – for future solar equipment [7]	14
Figure 3-1 - Replication of Table 1 from Clause 4.2.2 of Vic EDC	16
Figure 3-2 Full Load trip voltage profile	18
Figure 3-3 Low Load Trip voltage profile	18
Figure 3-4 Trip voltage deviation	19
Figure 3-5 Maximum distribution system fault levels under Vic EDC	21
Figure 0-1 Overloaded line in Max generation scenario	25
Figure 0-1 Case 1 and 3, Case 2 and 4	28
Figure 0-2 Case 1 and 2, Case 2 and 3	28
Figure 0-3 FLFG	29
Figure 0-4 LLFG	30

List of tables

Table 1 Summary of results	4
Table 2 BAN011 Feeder Loading scenarios	8
Table 3 LDC setting comparison	8
Table 4 Overloaded lines at full load scenario	20
Table 5 Full load - Short circuit fault contribution	21
Table 6 Low load - Short circuit fault contribution	21
Table 7 Overloaded conductors	26

List of abbreviations

Acronym	Description
AVR	Automatic Voltage Regulator
EDC	(Victorian) Electricity Distribution Code
IEC	International Electrotechnical Commission
HV	High Voltage
HWF	Hepburn Wind Farm
kV	kilo-Volt (unit of voltage)
LDC	Line Drop Compensator
MVAr	Mega-VAr (unit of reactive power)
OLTC	On Load Tap Changer
p.u.	Per Unit
PF	Power Factor
POC	Point of Connection
PSP	Power System Planning

Executive Summary

DNV GL has carried out load flow and short circuit analysis to assess the maximum allowable additional generation and compliance of the Hepburn Wind Farm (HWF) (also known as Leonards Hill Wind Farm).

Compliance was checked against the Victorian Electricity Distribution Code (EDC) [1] and Powercor's planning limits, taking into consideration the expansion plans detailed further herein to co-locate a solar installation at HWF.

The limits assessed in this report are described in Table 1, below.

	Table 1 Summary of results						
Technical Criteria	Compliance Requirement	Assessment/Results					
Thermal Loading	Powercor Planning Limit – Max 100% in model	Compliant					
Steady	Vic EDC – cl 4.2.2	Compliant					
State	Powercor Planning Limit	Compliant					
Voltage	Max 4.4% voltage fluctuation						
	Powercor Planning Limit	Compliant					
	Trip Max 5% voltage deviation						
Fault Studies	Vic EDC – cl. 7.8	Compliant					

To achieve the compliance per Table 1 above, the system as modelled by DNV GL would operate per the following parameters:

- Cumulative maximum power at POC is 7.8 MVA at 0.87 leading PF, data extracted from the simulation of which real power would be a maximum of 6.8 MW
- Existing wind turbines operating at fixed 0.93 leading power factor, for maximum output of 4.1 MVA from the wind generation, of which real power would be a maximum of 3.8 MW
- The proposed additional generation would ensure compliance using the above wind turbine operational regime and operating the two SMA central inverters at fixed 0.83 leading power factor, for maximum export of 3.8 MVA from the solar generation, of which real power would be a maximum of approximately 3.1 MW¹

It is noted that the configuration above allows the existing STATCOM presently in service to be removed from service, which will introduce a benefit to the Customer as the STATCOM is known to have caused multiple spurious trips of the site resulting in project downtime.

However, it should be noted that operating the wind turbines in the proposed power factor will mean a maximum MW at each WTG of \sim 1.9MW.

The effect of this reduced maximum MW output from the wind turbines compared to present operating parameters should be considered by the Customer in relation to the financial model for the project.

Higher generation capacity is further explored in the Appendix section of this report. DNV GL provided the results of preliminary study and the implication of higher generation i.e. from 8.2MVA to 11MVA.

¹ Stated export limit of 3.8MVA on two SMA inverters is for the power system simulation purposes. Due to the dynamic nature of wind and solar both the solar inverters and wind turbines output may change within their nameplate rating while making sure the POC limits are followed i.e. 7.8MVA at 0.87 leading PF.

1 Introduction

Hepburn Wind (the Customer) is currently in the planning process for a co-location project to attach solar generation to the existing 4.1MVA of wind generation at Hepburn Wind Farm (also known as Leonards Hill Wind Farm).

The aim of this report is to identify the maximum allowable amount of generation at the Point of Connection (POC) for Hepburn Wind Farm.

For this process DNV GL has considered thermal rating of the network equipment as well as voltage fluctuation limits set by DNSP and Victorian Electricity Distribution Code.

1.1 Site Location

The project site is approximately 10km south of the town of Daylesford, in North Western Victoria. A map showing the site location is provided in Figure 1-1.



Figure 1-1 Site location

1.2 System Description

The existing Hepburn Wind Farm project consists of two REpower MM82 2.05 MVA wind turbines with a maximum power output capacity of 4.1MW.

The existing plant (which includes a STATCOM on site) and future plant are further described in Section 2.3 of this report.

The proposed expansion of the project to co-locate a solar farm consists of two SMA solar inverters with a name plate rating of 3.0 MVA each and associated solar generation units.

The site is located approximately 42km from Powercor's Ballarat North zone substation, and is connected via the Powercor 'BAN 011' 22 kV feeder circuit.



Figure 1-2 BAN 11 feeder

2 POWER SYSTEM MODEL

2.1 Development of the Model

The feeder model used for evaluation in this study was received as a Sincal model provided by Powercor via an email on 13/08/2019. The Sincal model was then converted to a DIgSILENT Power Factory model to perform the required simulations and studies.

It is assumed that the working Sincal model accurately represents the current conditions of the existing Powercor BAN 11 22 kV feeder.

All studies are based on the following files and associated correspondence from Powercor:

- Leonards Hill Wind Farm V3 FL.sin
- Leonards Hill Wind Farm V3 LL.sin
- database.mdb

As advised by Powercor, the Point of Connection (POC) of the existing wind farm on the COB011 feeder is located at terminal 84343815 on the BAN 11 22 kV feeder.

It is worth mentioning, DNV GL has identified many discrepancies in conductor current ratings in the provided model by Powercor. To this effect there were two main issues noted:

- 1. Conductors incorrectly rated and
- 2. Conductors incorrectly named

This was brought to Powercor's attention where they provided DNV GL with updated conductor ratings [2]. The correct ratings were applied to the model.

2.2 Model Settings

The BAN011 loading conditions were provided to DNV GL in an email from Powercor [3] on 8 May 2019 as described below.

Load Scenario	Feeder Current (A)
LOW LOAD	55
HIGH LOAD	222

The model provided by Powercor includes three Voltage Regulators with Line Drop Compensators (LDCs) and an AVR at the BAN substation to control the transformer OLTC. The Rset and Xset values of the LDCs and their settings were extracted from the following documents provided by Powercor:

- BAN ZSS VRR1 (AVR)
- Bungaree P160A
- Millbrook P50
- Muskvale (P190 Barkstead)

It is worth noting, the LDC settings within the PSS SINCAL model differed from the documentation provided by Powercor. DNV GL have modelled both settings to identify most reasonable results that would closely match the voltage profiles provided by the Powercor shown in Figure 2-1 and **Error! Reference source not found.** During a meeting with Powercor on 18/10/2019, new regulator settings were proposed to address the voltage deviation issues. The proposed settings for Bungaree regulator along with the other regulators are shown in the table below:

	SINCAL model			Data sheet		
Name	R (ohm)	X (ohm)	X/R ratio	R (Volts)	X (Volts)	X/R ratio
Bungaree Reg	2.32	3.25	1.401	6.5	9.1	1.4
Millbrook	NA	NA	NA	10	2.1	0.211
Muskvale	2.877	1.726	0.601	5.4	3.3	0.611

Table 3 LDC setting comparison

The parameters from the SINCAL model column were used for simulation purposes. The LDC have been modelled with 'continuous' tapping, to align with Powercor modelling techniques and voltage profile. The voltage setpoints were supplied by Powercor via email [3].

To ensure the model used for this study aligns with Powercor's model, DNV GL performed a data validation by matching the voltage profiles as close as possible with Powercor's provided ones.

DNV GL have used Sincal software to generate the voltage profiles. The data are presented in the following pages in Figures 2-1 to 2-3.



Figure 2-2 DNV GL BAN011 Full load voltage profile



Figure 2-3 DNV GL BAN011 Low load voltage profile

2.3 Plant model

The existing plant system specification received from Hepburn Wind Farm are used for this study.

The plant consists of two wind turbines with a dedicated transformer and a STATCOM system.

As per Senergy's report [4] after considering the reactive capability of the turbines, both wind turbines can provide up to 1.66MVar of reactive power at POC.

To meet Powercor's requirement, additional 993kVar of external reactive power was calculated by Repower to meet the leading PF of 0.85 at POC at 1p.u voltage. The additional reactive power in the current configuration is provided by the STATCOM

The existing plant layout and specification of such equipment is shown in the following figures 2-5 to Figure 2-8, whilst Figure 2-9 presents the specifications of the future central solar inverters which may be installed (2×3000 kVA units).



Figure 2-4 Existing plant model represented in PowerFactory

Parameter	Value
Nominal power (nominal active power)	P _N = 2050 kW
Power factor	cos phi = ~ 1
Nominal voltage	U _N = 690 V
Voltage range (at LV terminals) 1 of the WEC (cos phi = ~ 1)	$90\% \le U_N \le 110\%$
Rated frequency	$f_N = 50 \text{ Hz}$
nominal current (cos phi= ~ 1)	I _N = 1715 A
Rated generator speed	n = 1800 RPM

Figure 2-5 Wind turbine specification [5]

Manufacturer	Schneider Electric
Serial No.	T080564
Transformer Spec	22kV/0.690kV 2.5MVA Dyn11
Standard	AS 60076 - 2005
Year of Manufacture	2008
Impedance	6.28%
Cooling Method	ONAN

Figure 2-6 Wind turbine transformer Specification [5]

Manufacturer	Trasfor
Serial No.	CZ1002111/01
Transformer Spec	22kV/0.480kV 1.4MVA Dyn11
Standard	IEC 60076 - 11
Year of Manufacture	2011
Impedance	6.0%
Cooling Method	AN

Figure 2-7 STATCOM transformer specification [5]

The reactive power capability that the wind farm is able to supply at POC is 1.666Mvar [6] [4]. Calculated PQ curve by Repower is shown in Figure 2-8



Figure 2-8 Reactive power capability with the Powercor requirements superimposed [4]

Figure 2-9shows the final model used by DNV GL which incorporates the additional solar generation.



Figure 2-9 The proposed plant layout Detailed specification of SMA inverters are shown in

APPENDIX D - SMA SOLAR INVERTER SPECIFICATION

Technical Data	Sunny Central 2500-EV	Sunny Central 2750-EV	Sunny Central 3000-EV	
Input (DC)				
MPP voltage range V $_{_{DC}}$ (at 25 $^{\circ}$ C / at 35 $^{\circ}$ C / at 50 $^{\circ}$ C)	850 V to 1425 V / 1200 V / 1200 V	875 V to 1425 V / 1200 V / 1200 V	956 V to 1425 V / 1200 V / 1200 V	
Min. input voltage V _{DC. min} / Start voltage V _{DC. Start}	778 V / 928 V	849 V / 999 V	927 V / 1077 V	
Max. input voltage V _{DC. max}	1500 V	1500 V	1500 V	
Max. input current I _{DC. max} (at 35°C / at 50°C)	3200 A / 2956 A	3200 A / 2956 A	3200 A / 2970 A	
Max. short-circuit current rating	6400 A	6400 A	6400 A	
Number of DC inputs	24 doub	le pole fused (32 single pole fuse	ed) for PV	
Number of DC inputs with optional DC battery coupling	18 double pole fused (36 single pole fused) for PV and 6 double pole fused for batteries			
Max. number of DC cables per DC input (for each polarity)	2 x 800 kcmil, 2 x 400 mm ²			
Integrated zone monitoring	0			
Available DC fuse sizes (per input)	200 A, 25	50 A, 315 A, 350 A, 400 A, 450	0 A, 500 A	
Output (AC)				
Nominal AC power at cos $\varphi = 1$ (at 35°C / at 50°C)	2500 kVA / 2250 kVA	2750 kVA / 2500 kVA	3000 kVA / 2700 kVA	
Nominal AC power at cos φ =0.8 (at 35°C / at 50°C)	2000 kW / 1800 kW	2200 kW / 2000 kW	2400 kW / 2160 kW	
Nominal AC current I _{AC. nom} = Max. output current I _{AC. max}	2624 A	2646 A	2646 A	
Max. total harmonic distortion	< 3% at nominal power	< 3% at nominal power	< 3% at nominal power	
Nominal AC voltage / nominal AC voltage range ^{1) 8)}	550 V / 440 V to 660 V	600 V / 480 V to 690 V	655 V / 524 V to 721 V ⁹⁾	
AC power frequency	50 Hz / 47 Hz to 53 Hz 60 Hz / 57 Hz to 63 Hz			
Min. short-circuit ratio at the AC terminals ¹⁰	> 2			
Power factor at rated power / displacement power factor adjustable $^{\rm (l) 11]}$	 1 / 0.8 overexcited to 0.8 underexcited 1 / 0.0 overexcited to 0.0 underexcited 			
Efficiency				
Max. efficiency ² / European efficiency ² / CEC efficiency ³	98.6% / 98.3% / 98.0%	98.7% / 98.5% / 98.5%	98.8% / 98.6% / 98.5%	

Figure 2-10 PV Central inverter specification – for future solar equipment [7]

2.4 Plant control mode

The existing wind farm is operating in power factor control mode in range of 0.85-0.89 leading [4]. As per the original proposed [4] control scheme by Senergy and PWE the reactive power is supplied by both the STATCOM and wind turbines. DNV GL is aware the STATCOM has been responsible for multiple spurious protection trips on site in recent years, and therefore methods to remove it from service were part of the analysis performed.

DNV GL has assumed maintaining a power factor control mode for the proposed additional generation as the optimum solution to meeting compliance targets.

In Power Factor control mode, the plant (existing and proposed) is set to control its net power factor. This is achieved by implementing a fixed power factor at each generator within the model. Note a Power Plant Controller is needed at a cubicle connecting the farm to the POC Busbar. The power factor set point has been changed to accommodate the requirement set by Powercor and Victorian Electricity Distribution Code.

The additional capacity provided uses two SMA central inverters rated at 3.0MVA each. Simulations were performed iteratively to select the optimum Power Factor (PF) of the entire plant at the POC.

The selection of the PF setting was required to meet the 4.4% and 5% voltage variation criteria and the generator trip conditions.

The results presented in Section 3 of this report are based on DNV GL's findings of the following optimum control configuration:

- 1. Wind turbines were configured with fixed power factor of 0.93 leading
- 2. Solar farm was configured with fixed power factor of 0.83 leading
- 3. STATCOM switched off

The result of the combination of factors 1 to 3 above is a net Power Factor of the entire plant at the POC of 0.87 leading.

The result of simulations from additional scenarios with more capacity is presented in Appendix A for information only.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Steady State Voltage Variation

3.1.1 Normal operation

This section of the study investigates steady state voltage variations within the feeder created by the inclusion of the entire plant into the grid. Network simulations were conducted for the various loading levels and inclusion of Hepburn Wind Farm.

The acceptable levels for voltage variations are detailed within clause 4.2.2 of the VIC EDC which references Table 1.

STANDARD NOMINAL VOLTAGE VARIATIONS				
Voltage Level in kV	Voltage Range for Time Periods			
	Steady State	Less than 1 minute	Less than 10 seconds	Impulse Voltage
< 1.0	+10%	+14%	Phase to Earth +50%-100% Phase to Phase +20%-100%	6 kV peak
	- 6%	- 10%		
1-6.6	± 6 %	± 10%	Phase to Earth +80%-100%	60 kV peak
11	(± 10 %		Phase to Phase +20%-100%	95 kV peak
22	Rural Areas)			150 kV peak
66	± 10%	± 15%	Phase to Earth +50%-100% Phase to Phase +20%-100%	325 kV peak

Figure 3-1 - Replication of Table 1 from Clause 4.2.2 of Vic EDC

Referencing the above table, to comply with the Victorian EDC the proposed plant shall not cause voltage variation of more than $\pm 10\%$ on the 22kV (MV) terminals within the BAN011 feeder.

Powercor requires **Planning Limit of 4.4%** in Steady State Voltage Variation. This was assessed for the 4 key voltage profiles described by Powercor [8].

The 4 key voltage profiles in the analysis of the BAN011 feeder are:

- 1. Case 1: Low Load and No Generation
- 2. Case 2: High Load and No Generation
- 3. Case 3: Low Load and Full Generation
- 4. Case 4: High Load and Full Generation

Considering the planning limits are significantly lower that EDC limits, DNV GL has used the 4.4% as the main assessment criteria for voltage deviation limit, in addition to maintaining the voltages on the BAN011 feeder at all times between 0.9 to 1.1 p.u.

Voltages across all terminal points were monitored while comparing:

- Case 1 and 3
- Case 2 and 4
- Case 1 and 2
- Case 2 and 3

After running the simulation, all terminal points voltages remained below the **voltage deviation limit of 4.4%.** All results are shown in APPENDIX B – NORMAL OPERATION RESULTS .

The following terms are used for the graphs:

FLNG Full Load No Generation FLFG Full Load Full Generation

LLNG Low Load No Generation LLFG Low Load Full Generation

3.1.2 Trip Scenario

This section of the study analyses voltage fluctuations within the feeder created by the very rare case of a wind farm trip event.

As discussed with Powercor, the maximum voltage change following the sudden trip of the entire plant **shall not exceed 5%** [9]. In the trip event, the voltage of all terminals during sudden loss of both WT and Solar inverter systems for both low and high load scenarios are investigated.

The following steps are used to model a trip event:

- 1. Entire plant trip under Full Generation
 - 1.1. Taps unlocked on all voltage regulation elements
 - 1.2. Load flow conducted with the plant 100% generation (fixed PF control mode)
 - 1.3. Taps locked on all voltage regulation elements
 - 1.4. Load flow conducted with the entire plant tripped

After running the simulation, all terminal points voltages remained below the **voltage deviation limit of 5%.** Results are shown in the figures overleaf.











Figure 3-4 Trip voltage deviation

3.1.3 Cloud Cover Scenario

DNV GL has used a number of historical datasets to identify how to best represent a cloud cover event. By observing the amount and number of sudden generation changes, it is concluded to use a generation change of 100% to 40% to represent a cloud cover.

System setup:

Initial condition:

Wind turbines in full service: 4.1MVA @0.93 leading PF

PV inverters in full service: 3.8MVA @0.83 leading PF

Cloud cover event:

Wind turbines in full service: 4.1MVA @0.93 leading PF

Lock transformer tap

PV inverters instantaneous ramp down to 40%

Load flow analysis has been conducted on the network model in PowerFactory to ascertain the voltage variation for the various loading levels and due to cloud cover affecting the output of the PV system (40% Generation).

The voltage change across the feeder is monitored both at high and low load scenario. All terminal point voltages remained below the **voltage deviation limit of 5%**.

Results are shown in Appendix C
3.2 Thermal Ratings of Network Equipment

This section of the study assesses the impact of the HWF on the thermal loading of network elements within the BAN011 feeder.

The maximum thermal loading limit for the feeder's lines is 100% as provided in the network model. Based on discussion with Powercor it is understood that elements within the network model must remain below 100% without requiring replacement or augmentation of these elements. This requirement will form the basis of assessment for this study

Load flow study of feeder without any generation (at Full Load scenario) shows several lines are already overloaded. DNV GL has corresponded with Powercor on this matter and Powercor have confirmed this is the case.

Line Name	Loading %	Irated kA
67134052 HV_Line(_BAN011_RWB_6/1/.144_AC	110.6576	0.175
129344643 HV_Line(_BAN011_RWB_6/1/.144_A	110.6572	0.175
67134053 HV_Line(_BAN011_RWB_6/1/.144_AC	110.5886	0.175
62752048 HV_Line(_BAN011_RWB_6/1/.144_AC	102.4358	0.175
83837000 HV_Line(_BAN011_RWB_6/1/.144_AC	102.3721	0.175
83836999 HV_Line(_BAN011_RWB_6/1/.144_AC	102.3089	0.175
83836998 HV_Line(_BAN011_RWB_6/1/.144_AC	102.0516	0.175
83836997 HV_Line(_BAN011_RWB_6/1/.144_AC	101.7937	0.175

Table 4 Overloaded lines at full load scenario

As the embedded generation of Hepburn Wind Farm is changing the flow of power, all conductors remained below 100% their current rating at Full load as well as Low Load.

If Powercor plans to upgrade the overloaded lines in future HWF may be able to increase its generation capacity accordingly.

3.3 Fault Level Studies

This section of study assesses the fault level contributions of the proposed plant to the Powercor' distribution system fault levels. According to the standard in VIC EDC/IEC Short-Circuit calculations, the static generators are normally disregarded. For the purpose of this study, the short circuit factor K used for the wind turbine is 1.1 and for the PV inverter K factor of 1.34 as per SMA recommendation [10]. The K factor is used on their nominal AC current output to calculate the fault current.

The acceptable limits of fault levels are detailed in clause 7.3 of the Victorian Electricity Distribution Code, this is shown in Figure below.

able 5 DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM FAULT LEVELS							
Voltage Level kV System Fault Level MVA Short Circuit Level							
66	2500	21.9					
22	500	13.1					
11	350	18.4					
6.6	250	21.9					
<1	36	50.0					

Figure 3-5 Maximum distribution system fault levels under Vic EDC

To calculate the maximum three phase short circuit IEC 60909 2016 version with break time of 0.3 seconds and clearing time of 1 second is used.

Referencing the above table, the plant must not cause fault levels in the distribution system to exceed 13.1kA for the 22kV network as specified in table 5 of clause 7.3 of the Vic EDC. The proceeding methodology and results will demonstrate the plant compliance with this.

	Table 5 Full load - Short circuit fault contribution								
Terminal	Plant offline		Plant Onlir	ie	Change ∆				
	Sk" (MVA)	Ik" (kA)	Sk" (MVA)	Ik"(kA)	Sk" (MVA)	Ik" (kA)			
84343815	30.31	0.795	42.62	1.11	12.31	0.315			
	Two	Phase Sh	nort circuit fault co	ntributio	n				
84343815	8.75	0.68	12.06	0.94	3.31	0.26			
Single Phase to ground Short circuit fault contribution									
84343815	0.614	0.048	0.917	0.072	0.303	0.024			

Table 6 Low load - Short circuit fault contribution

Terminal	Plant offline		Plant Onlin	ie	Change ∆					
	Sk" (MVA)	Ik"	Sk" (MVA)	Ik" (kA)	Sk" (MVA)	Ik"				
		(KA)				(KA)				
84343815	29.39	0.753	40.09	1.07	10.7	0.317				
Two Phase Short circuit fault contribution										
84343815	8.48	0.66	11.79	0.92	3.31	0.26				
Single Phase to ground Short circuit fault contribution										
84343815	0.63	0.049	0.95	0.074	0.32	0.025				

As shown by the above table, fault currents in the distribution system with the inclusion of the combined wind farm and solar farm are within the limits defined by the Victorian Electricity Distribution Code and is therefore compliant with Powercor's requirements.

4 Conclusions

A detailed analysis of the Hepburn Wind Farm (HWF) with additional solar capacity was carried out with numerous iterations of simulations conducted.

Power Factor control setting was selected to be 0.87 leading at the POC as described in Section 2.4 of this report. This setting was observed to provide the best performance, with the LDC settings and network configuration of BAN011.

From the power system studies the effect of the HWF on the distribution network and point of connection is as follows:

Thermal Loading

• With the HWF disconnected a number of lines were already overloaded. With HWF connected in Full and Low load scenario all lines were below the 100% limit.

Steady State Voltage Studies

- For all generation conditions the Power System did not exceed the ±10% requirements of the Victorian EDC.
- For the 4 key cases analysed the wind farm was compliant with the 4.4% requirement.
- Trip events were modelled for low load and high load cases. Voltage variation stayed below 5% limit
- Cloud cover event was simulated with satisfactory results i.e. voltage variation stayed below 2%

Fault Level Studies

• The maximum fault contribution by the entire plant was within the limits outlined in the Vic EDC.

The results presented in this report show that the combined wind and solar farm installation was compliant with all criteria assessed using the control mode proposed.

It is noted that the configuration above allows the STATCOM presently in service to be removed from service, which will introduce a benefit to the Customer as the STATCOM is known to have caused multiple spurious trips of the site resulting in project downtime.

However, it should be noted that operating the wind turbines in the proposed power factor will mean a maximum generation at each WTG of 1.9 MW. The effect of this reduced maximum MW output from the wind turbines compared to business as usual should be considered by the Customer in relation to the financial model for the project.

5 References

- [1] E. S. Commission, "ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION CODE," 2018.
- [2] D. H. C. D. Manager, "HWF update," CitiPower Pty, Powercor Australia Ltd, Mon 20/05/2019 5:15 PM.
- [3] D. H. C. D. Manager, "Hepburn Community Wind Farm," CitiPower Pty, Powercor Australia, 08/05/2019.
- [4] Senergy, "Leonard Hill Wind Farm Reactive Power Solution Due Diligence Assessment," 29 / 04 / 2010.
- [5] M. Group, "RAPID EARTH FAULT CURRENT IMPACT ASSESSMENT REPORT," 10/01/2019.
- [6] T. R. Hill, "Hepburn Community Wind Farm Ban11 Voltage Profile Report," Repower Systems AG Uberseering 10/Oval Office D-22297 Hamburg. Received , 7th April.
- [7] SMA, "SUNNY CENTRAL 2200 / 2475 / 2500-EV / 2750-EV / 3000-EV".
- [8] S. C. P. Australia, "Leonards Hill wind farm soalr request," 2019.
- [9] D. H. Powercor, "email FW: Hepburn Community Wind Farm," Mon 6/05/2019 9:53 AM.
- [10] SMA, "Short-circuit behavior of SMA Sunny Central," September 12th 2018.
- [11] D. Holroyd, "Email RE: FW: HWF update," Wed 22/05/2019 3:15 PM.

Appendix A – Higher Generation Capacity

Additional simulation was performed to explore a higher generation capacity i.e. from 7.9MVA to 11MVA (\sim 6.9MW to 9.6MW). During the study both voltage and thermal limits were assessed.

The plant generation parameters are as follow:

- 3 x SMA central inverter each set at 2.3MVA with leading PF 0.875
- 2 x Repower turbines each set at 2.05MVA with leading PF 0.92

The first criteria is the thermal limit of network assets, they include overhead conductors, transformer and voltage regulators. Considering the rating of these assets are not consistent along the BAN feeder, maximum apparent power of 11MVA is selected for the entire plant at POC. This considered to be an optimum limit before major feeder upgrade is needed. The proposed limit will overload the following overhead conductors highlighted in blue in Figure 0-1



Figure 0-1 Overloaded line in Max generation scenario

The overloaded lines must be upgraded to 300A or higher rating with approximate total length of 1.6km as per Sincal model provided by Powercor. Table 7 shows the description of each line.

Table 7 Overloaded conductors							
Conductor name and description							
67134053 HV_Line(_BAN011_RWB_6/1/.144_AC							
67134052 HV_Line(_BAN011_RWB_6/1/.144_AC							
129344643 HV_Line(_BAN011_RWB_6/1/.144_A							
68668785 HV_Line(_BAN011_RWB_6/1/.144_AC							
68668784 HV_Line(_BAN011_RWB_6/1/.144_AC							
67624413 HV_Line(_BAN011_RWB_6/1/.144_AC							
83836997 HV_Line(_BAN011_RWB_6/1/.144_AC							
83836998 HV_Line(_BAN011_RWB_6/1/.144_AC							
83836999 HV_Line(_BAN011_RWB_6/1/.144_AC							
83837000 HV_Line(_BAN011_RWB_6/1/.144_AC							
62752048 HV_Line(_BAN011_RWB_6/1/.144_AC							
79624775 HV_Cable(_unset_BAN011_unknown_							
63637299 HV_Line(_BAN011_RWB_3/2.75_SC/G							
63637289 HV_Line(_BAN011_RWB_3/2.75_SC/G							

Furthermore, additional upgrades on the feeder are required including protection equipment and setup. The particulars of these upgrades are to be assessed by Powercor and a more detailed power system study.

Powercor [11] have stated the following via email:

"Please note the available generation capacity at the wind farm site is limited by protection. This limit currently is approx. 7.5 MW (but may be increased to 9.5 MW by new settings in the Ballan Regulator along with 2.3 km of reconductor works and also with the addition of an ACR on the wind farm tee off and some line thermal uprate) and may need to be reduced further to achieve appropriate grading between the wind farm protection and the upstream line protection. The protection settings are provided in the original data pack"

Steady state voltage variation results are shown in the following pages. In both Full load and Low load scenario the voltage variation remained below 5% set by Powercor for trip scenario.

Full load plant trip



Low load Trip









Figure 0-2 Case 1 and 2, Case 2 and 3



Figure 0-3 FLFG



Figure 0-4 LLFG





Appendix D – SMA Solar Inverter Specification



Technical Information Document

Sunny Central SC 3000-EV



SUNNY CENTRAL 1500 V

Technical Data	Sunny Central 2500-EV	Sunny Central 2750-EV	Sunny Central 3000-EV		
Input (DC)					
MPP voltage range $V_{_{DC}}$ (at 25°C / at 35°C / at 50°C)	850 V to 1425 V / 1200 V / 1200 V	875 V to 1425 V / 1200 V / 1200 V	956 V to 1425 V / 1200 V / 1200 V		
Min. input voltage $V_{DC, min}$ / Start voltage $V_{DC, Start}$	778 V / 928 V	849 V / 999 V	927 V / 1077 V		
Max. input voltage V _{DC, max}	1500 V	1500 V	1500 V		
Max. input current I _{DC, max} (at 35°C / at 50°C)	3200 A / 2956 A	3200 A / 2956 A	3200 A / 2970 A		
Max. short-circuit current rating	6400 A	6400 A	6400 A		
Number of DC inputs	24 doub 19 double note fund (26 si	le pole tused (32 single pole tuse	ed) for PV		
Max number of DC cables per DC input (for each polarity)		$2 \times 800 \text{ kcmil}$ $2 \times 400 \text{ mm}^2$	buble pole lused for balleries		
Integrated zone monitoring		0			
Available DC fuse sizes (per input)	200 A, 25	0 A, 315 A, 350 A, 400 A, 450) A, 500 A		
Output (AC)					
Nominal AC power at $\cos \varphi = 1$ (at 35°C / at 50°C)	2500 kVA / 2250 kVA	2750 kVA / 2500 kVA	3000 kVA / 2700 kVA		
Nominal AC power at $\cos \varphi = 0.8$ (at 35°C / at 50°C)	2000 kW / 1800 kW	2200 kW / 2000 kW	2400 kW / 2160 kW		
Nominal AC current I _{AC, nom} = Max. output current I _{AC, max}	2624 A	2646 A	2646 A		
Nominal AC voltage / nominal AC voltage range ^{1) 8)}	550 V / 440 V to 660 V	600 V / 480 V to 690 V	655 V / 524 V to 721 V ⁹		
AC power frequency		50 Hz / 47 Hz to 53 Hz			
		60 Hz / 57 Hz to 63 Hz			
Min. short-circuit ratio at the AC terminals ⁽⁰⁾	• 1	< 2 < 0.8 overexcited to 0.8 underex	rcited		
Power factor at rated power / displacement power factor adjustable,	01	/ 0.0 overexcited to 0.0 underex	cited		
Efficiency					
Max. efficiency ² / European efficiency ² / CEC efficiency ³	98.6% / 98.3% / 98.0%	98.7% / 98.5% / 98.5%	98.8% / 98.6% / 98.5%		
Protective Devices					
Input-side disconnection point		DC load-break switch			
		Surge arrester, type I			
AC overvoltage protection (optional)		Surge arrester, class I			
Lightning protection (according to IEC 62305-1)		Lightning Protection Level III			
Ground-fault monitoring / remote ground-fault monitoring	0/0				
Insulation monitoring		0			
Degree of protection: electronics / air duct / connection area		IP65 / IP34 / IP34			
General Data					
Dimensions (W / H / D)	2780 / 23	18 / 1588 mm (109.4 / 91.3 /	′ 62.5 inch)		
Weight	< 3400 kg / < 7496 lb				
Self-consumption (max. ⁴) / partial load ⁵) / average ⁶)	< {	8100 W / < 1800 W / < 2000	W		
Self-consumption (standby)		< 3/0 W			
Operating temperature range ⁸⁾		-25 to 60° C / -13 to 140° F			
Noise emission ⁷⁾		67.8 dB(A)			
Temperature range (standby)		-40 to 60°C / -40 to 140°F			
Temperature range (storage)		-40 to 70°C / -40 to 158°F			
Max. permissible value for relative humidity (condensing / non-condensing)	95% to 100% (2 month / year) / 0 % to 95%				
Maximum operating altitude above MSL ⁸ 1000 m / 2000 m ¹² / 3000 m ¹²	•/0/-	• / • / -	•/0/-		
Fresh air consumption		6500 m³/h			
	Terr	ninal lua on each input (without f	usel		
AC connection	With busbar	system (three busbars, one per lir	ne conductor)		
Communication	Ethe	rnet, Modbus Master, Modbus S	ilave		
Communication with SMA string monitor (transmission medium)	Mod	dbus TCP / Ethernet (FO MM, Co	at-5)		
Enclosure / roof color		RAL 9016 / RAL 7004			
Supply transformer for external loads		○ (2.5 kVA)			
Standards and directives complied with	CE, IEC / EN 62109-1, IEC /	EN 62109-2, BDEW-MSRL, IEE	E1547, Arrete du 23/04/08		
	CISPR 11, CISPR 22, EN55011:2017, EN 55022, IEC/EN 61000-6-4, IEC/EN 61000-6-2, IEC 62920, FCC Part 15 Class A				
Quality standards and directives complied with	VDI/\	/DE 2862 page 2, DIN EN ISO	9001		
Standard teatures O Optional — not available Type designation	SC 2500 EV 10	SC 2750 EV 10			
iybe desiðiraliou	30-2300-24-10	3C-27 JU-EV-10	3C-3000-EV-10		
 At nominal AC voltage, nominal AC power decreases in the same proportion Efficiency measured without internal power supply Efficiency measured with internal power supply Self-consumption at rated operation Self-consumption at < 75% Pn at 25°C Self-consumption averaged out from 5% to 100% Pn at 35°C Sound pressure level at a distance of 10 m 	 N 8) Values apply only to inverters. Permissible values for SMA MV solutions from SMA can be found in the corresponding data sheets. 9) AC voltage range can be extended to 753V for 50Hz grids only (option "Aux power supply: external" must be selected, option "housekeeping" not combinable). 10) A short-circuit ratio of < 2 requires a special approval from SMA 11) Depending on the DC voltage 12) Available as a special version, earlier temperature-dependent de-rating and reduction of DC opencircuit voltage 				



TEMPERATURE BEHAVIOR (at $\cos \varphi = 1$ and installation altitudes of up to 1,000 m¹)



1) For the temperature behavior for installations at above 1,000 m see the Technical Information document.

www.SMA-Solar.com

SMA Solar Technology

Agenda



SUN	NY CENTRAL SC 3000-EV	1
AGE	NDA	2
1.	EFFICIENCY	3
A B C	EFFICIENCY WITHOUT AUXILIARY LOSSES EFFICIENCY WITH AUXILIARY LOSSES (CEC) EFFICIENCY IN DEPENDENCE OF DC VOLTAGE AND TEMPERATURE	3 4 4
2.	AUXILIARY CONSUMPTION	5
A B	Auxiliary consumption on a sunny day Auxiliary consumption on a cloudy day	5 6
3.	HARMONICS	7
A	Measurements according to BDEW (50Hz)	7 7
в)	Measurements according to IEEE 1547 (60Hz)	8
4.	REACTIVE POWER	9
A B C D A B	P/Q DIAGRAM SC 3000-EV @35°C	9 0 2 3 4 5
5.	RIDE THROUGH CAPABILITIES1	6
A B	Voltage Ride Through	6 7
6.	AC VOLTAGE RANGE	8

1. Efficiency



The conversion efficiency of the inverter is defined by the ratio of AC output power to DC input power. The main losses occur as waste heat due to switching and conducting losses inside the IGBT's of the inverter and due to the inductance of the sine filter choke. Depending on the methodology of measuring the efficiency, the self-consumption of the inverter can also be integrated into the efficiency calculation as it is done with the CEC efficiency rating.

The conversion efficiency strongly depends on the DC voltage with the highest efficiency being experienced at the lowest possible DC voltage for this type of inverter bridge topology.

Max Efficiency = 98.8% / Euro Eta= 98.6%

Efficiency measurement conditions test results											
SC3000-EV-10											
					Powe	r in [kW]	(nom. 30	00kW)			
		5%	10%	20%	25%	30%	40%	50%	60%	75%	100%
Input V	Input voltage [Vdc]		300	600	750	900	1200	1500	1800	2250	3000
	•	kW	kW	kW	kW	kW	kW	kW	kW	kW	kW
		η in [%]									
	955	97,11	98,19	98,63	98,70	98,72	98,75	98,71	98,66	98,57	98,35
V _{MPPnom1}	1190	95,46	97,43	98,22	98,36	98,42	98,47	98,49	98,47	98,39	98,18
VMPPnom2	1283	94,79	97,13	98,10	98,21	98,29	98,36	98,39	98,39	98,32	98,11
VMPPmax	1425	93,85	96,65	97,87	97,98	98,10	98,24	98,28	98,27	98,21	98,00

a) Efficiency without auxiliary losses

Table 1: Efficiencies without aux. losses at 25 °C measured according to IEC 61683



Figure 1: Efficiencies without aux. losses at 25 °C measured according to IEC 61683

b) Efficiency with auxiliary losses (CEC)





Figure 2: Efficiencies with aux. losses at 25°C (CEC)

CEC-Eta Vmin @956Vdc: 98.42% Vnom @1017Vdc: 98.35% Vmax @1200Vdc: 98.10%

c) Efficiency in dependence of DC voltage and temperature



Figure 3: Efficiency in dependence of DC voltage and temperature (incl. Aux losses)

2. Auxiliary Consumption



The inverter converts DC to AC power which requires some auxiliary power for the control, communication and cooling system. The amount of auxiliary power depends on the ambient temperature and on the produced output power. The auxiliary power is drawn from the AC side at the inverter terminals.

If the available PV power exceeds 100% of the DC power which can be converted by the inverter per nameplate rating, the inverter produces some more AC power in order to compensate for its internal losses. That way the effective auxiliary consumption of the inverter is 0 kVA as soon as the DC power exceeds 100%.



a) Auxiliary consumption on a sunny day

Figure 4: Auxiliary power consumption on a sunny day at 25 °C

b) Auxiliary consumption on a cloudy day





Figure 5: Auxiliary power consumption on a cloudy day at 25 $^\circ\text{C}$

3. Harmonics



Harmonics occur as integer multiples of the fundamental frequency which is typically 50 Hz or 60 Hz in electronic power grids. Harmonic currents cause voltage drops which superimpose the nominal grid voltage resulting in distortion of the sine wave of the grid voltage. Harmonics can be generated by non-linear loads or from power electronic means with high frequent switching transistors (for example by an inverter).

The inverter control and the filter design have a big impact on the harmonics generated by the inverter. The measured harmonics will also vary with the grid frequency, the grid impedance and the initial level of harmonic stress in the grid.

The system solution which uses a Dy transformer for the connection to the MV grid has a different harmonic spectrum as the Delta winding of the transformer does not allow a zero sequence system to develop. Thus the corresponding harmonics (all multiples of the 3rd order) equal zero on the MV side. This effect is shown in Figure 9. Additionally the SC SC 3000-EV actively compensates harmonics up to the 7th order by its internal control, thus producing a total harmonic current (THC) of less than 1%.



a) Measurements according to BDEW (50Hz)

Figure 6: Total Harmonic distortion at 100% PAc (50 Hz)

	Order	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	Lv/In[%]	0,11%	0,05%	0,12%	0,31%	0,03%	0,40%	0,17%	0,02%	0,19%
Order	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Lv/In[%]	0,16%	0,01%	0,09%	0,07%	0,01%	0,03%	0,05%	0,01%	0,06%	0,01%
Order	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
Lv/In[%]	0,10%	0,01%	0,11%	0,01%	0,08%	0,01%	0,04%	0,04%	0,01%	0,01%
Order	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40
Lv/In[%]	0,04%	0,04%	0,01%	0,01%	0,03%	0,01%	0,01%	0,03%	0,01%	0,01%
									TH	DC
									0,6	3%

Table 2: Total Harmonic distortion at 100% PAc (50 Hz)

b) Measurements according to IEEE 1547 (60Hz)





Figure 7: Harmonic distortion compared to the limits defined by IEEE 1547 and IEEE 519

	Order	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	Lv/In[%]	0,12%	0,03%	0,14%	0,40%	0,01%	0,29%	0,10%	0,02%	0,04%
Order	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Lv/In[%]	0,04%	0,01%	0,01%	0,10%	0,05%	0,01%	0,03%	0,03%	0,04%	0,04%
Order	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
Lv/In[%]	0,07%	0,08%	0,07%	0,04%	0,03%	0,01%	0,02%	0,01%	0,01%	0,03%
Order	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40
Lv/In[%]	0,01%	0,02%	0,01%	0,01%	0,01%	0,01%	0,01%	0,01%	0,00%	0,02%
									TH	DC
									0,5	7%

Table 3: Harmonic distortion per phase at 1425 VDc and 100% PAc (60 Hz)

4. Reactive Power



The inverter can provide reactive power in addition to the active power which is produced by conversion of incoming DC power. The resulting apparent power which is defined by the inverter's nameplate rating is calculated by geometric addition of reactive and active power.

The reactive power provision can be defined either via Power Factor (max. $\cos\varphi=0.8$ as standard, optional extended up to $\cos\varphi=0.0$) or as a fix Q value. Since the reactive power is independent of the active power provision of the inverter, it is possible to provide the max. reactive power at any time respecting the limits defined by the apparent power value of the inverter at different ambient temperatures. The inverter can provide up to 60% (100% optional) of its nameplate rating as reactive power disconnecting only when the active power drops below 2 kW.

Reactive power has an impact on the frequency-dependent voltage drop at the sinus filter choke so that the minimum MPP voltage depends on the applied power factor. This effect is illustrated in the below pictures.

Please note the extended power setting range is not available for:

- UL-Listed inverters
- SMA Medium voltage solutions e.g. MVPS, MV-Block, UPR

To enable the extended reactive power range please contact an SMA Application Engineer.



a) P/Q diagram SC 3000-EV @35°C

Figure 8: P/Q diagram at 35°C and grid voltage U ≥Un





Figure 9: P/Q diagram at 35°C and U=0.9Un

b) P/Q diagram SC 3000-EV @50°C



Figure 10: P/Q diagram at 50°C and grid voltage U ≥Un





Figure 11: P/Q diagram at 50°C and U=0.9Un

c) Minimum MPP Voltage with reactive power @60 Hz





Figure 12: Minimum MPP Voltage at 60 Hz and 35 $^\circ\text{C}$



Figure 13: Minimum MPP Voltage at 60 Hz and $50\,^\circ\text{C}$

d) Minimum MPP Voltage with reactive power @50 Hz





Figure 14: Minimum MPP Voltage at 50 Hz and 35°C



Figure 15: Minimum MPP Voltage at 50 Hz and 50 $^\circ\text{C}$

De-rating



The thermal management of the inverter decides about de-rating conditions in dependence of ambient temperature, DC voltage and altitude.

Above 35°C the output power of the inverter has to be reduced. High DC voltage causes switching losses at the IGBTs which significantly contribute to the heat rise inside the inverter. With rising ambient temperature the maximum operation DC voltage with full load needs to be reduced between 25°C and 50°C in order to support the inverter's thermal management.

The lower density of air with rising altitude reduces the cooling effect. The inverter can produce its full power output at altitudes up to 2,000m with only reducing slightly the max. temperature for operation with nominal power. An adaptation starts above 1,000m and results in a linear shift to lower max. temperature also aligned with the temperature drop at high altitudes.



a) De-rating due to DC voltage

Figure 16: De-rating depending on DC voltage

b) De-rating at high Altitudes





Figure 17: Linear de-rating at high altitudes

*Projects at a higher altitude between 2001m and 3000m asl. are possible to order via special version.

- The following performance restrictions must be considered for installations in such altitudes:
 - Open circuit voltage derating 18Voc/100m
 - Only available with the option 'Auxiliary Power External' (Brown Power)
 - Additional AC voltage and power derating with **60Hz** applications



5. Ride Through capabilities

The inverter has the capability to support the grid by remaining online or by reactive power feed-in during a temporary change of the grid voltage beyond preset low voltage (LV) and high voltage (HV) thresholds. The below figure describes the max. voltage ride-through (VRT) capabilities of the SC SC 3000-EV. If the max. disconnecting delay time at specific voltage levels is exceeded, the inverter switches off and reconnects to the grid when the voltage returns to the preset nominal operation window.

A project specific VRT window can be defined with the parameters described in the inverter's operation manual.

The inverter will also ride through abnormal frequency events with the capability of reducing the output power at high frequency scenarios. The ride-through capabilities are described below with similar possibilities to adjust the window as for the voltage ride-through.



a) Voltage Ride Through





b) Frequency Ride Through



Figure 19: LoFrqRT/HiFrqRT capabilities (60 Hz)



Figure 20: LoFrqRT/HiFrqRT capabilities (50 Hz)

6. AC Voltage Range



Standardly the SC 3000-EV has an AC Voltage Range of -20% to +10% (524V to 720V) for 50Hz and 60Hz grids.

An AC Voltage Range of +15% Uac can be achieved for 50Hz grids only in combination of the inverter option 'brown power' (without SMA 'auxiliary transformer' and without option 'housekeeping'). Please contact an SMA Application Engineer for further support.

Niestetal, December 14th, 2018

SMA Solar Technology AG Sonnenallee 1 34266 Niestetal/ Germany

i. A. Andreas Tügel i. A. Daniel Greger ^{Product Manager} Appendix E – Site Layout and Electrical Schematics



ABN: 14 154 635 319 T: +613 8615 1515 Level 12/350 Queen St, Melbourne VIC 3000



PROTECTION SCHEDULE								
ТҮРЕ	ANSI	FUNCTION	DEVICE					
	CODE							
	50/51	INSTANTANEOUS OVERCURRENT						
	50N	EARTH FAULT						
	79	TRIPPING RELAY						
	50BF	BREAKER FAILURE						
	810	OVER FRERQUENCY	Siemens 7SJ62					
(22KV)	81U	UNDER FREQUENCY						
	27	UNDER VOLTAGE						
	81R	ROCOF (TBC)						
	59	OVER VOLTAGE						
	810	OVER FRERQUENCY						
	81U	UNDER FREQUENCY						
PROT 2	27	UNDER VOLTAGE	SMA SC3000					
(INV)	59	OVER VOLTAGE	INVERTER					
	25	SYNCHRONISM-CHECK DEVICE						
	_	ACTIVE ANTI-ISLANDING						
PROT 3	50/51	INSTANTANEOUS OVERCURRENT	Transformer					

ID	ТҮРЕ	RATIO	CLASS	BURD
CT-P1	PROTECTION CT	300:1A	5P20	
VT-P1	PROTECTION VT	22/0.11kV	CL0.5M	
CT-P2	PROTECTION CT	300:5A	5P10	
VT-P2	PROTECTION VT	22/0.11kV	CL0.5	T
CT-M1	METERING CT	300:5A	CL0.5S	
VT-M1	METERING VT	22/0.11kV	CL0.5M	Т

vith	PRELIMINARY
	Project: Hepburn Wind Farn
	Title: MV Connection Sol SLD
	Dwg No: P231111-200-1 Rev:A



© DNV GL Pty Ltd

 Details show proposed indoor connection cubicle consisting of MV switchgear and secondary panels. Subject to further discussion with Powercor.
 2.



/			
η			
lution		Dev. Dete. Commente	Dura Chird
rution	Rev Date Comments	Dwn Chka	
1		Dwn: FM Chkd:AG	Date: 14/11/19
A I	Job No: P231111	Scale:NTS@A3	
Hepburn Community Wind Farm Site Plan



Author: Simon Holmes à Court Date: 7 February 2014 Version: 2014.2

Note: Layout is not to scale. Positions are indicative. Consult a surveyor and "Dial Before You Dig" prior to any excavation or construction activities.

Not To Scale Not For Construction or Excavation

ABOUT DNV GL

Driven by our purpose of safeguarding life, property and the environment, DNV GL enables organizations to advance the safety and sustainability of their business. We provide classification and technical assurance along with software and independent expert advisory services to the maritime, oil and gas, and energy industries. We also provide certification services to customers across a wide range of industries. Operating in more than 100 countries, our 16,000 professionals are dedicated to helping our customers make the world safer, smarter and greener.